



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

MAILED

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR, & ZAFFMAN LLP 1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY SUNNYVALE, CA 94085

MAY 1 3 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of Joe Sriver, et al.

Application No. 10/607,929 Filed: June 27, 2003

Attorney Docket No.: 025.0334.US.UTL

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) to revive the above-identified application, filed March 11, 2009.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned for failure to timely pay the issue fees on or before November 6, 2008, as required by the Corrected Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due, mailed August 6, 2008, 2008. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on December 3, 2008. In response, on March 11, 2009, the present petition was filed.

The petition is not signed by a registered attorney or agent of record. However, in accordance with 37 CFR 1.34(a), the signature of Michael J. Mallie appearing on the petition shall constitute a representation to the United States Patent and Trademark Office that he is authorized to represent the particular party on whose behalf he acts.

The petition satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that petitioner has supplied (1) the reply in the form of payment of the issue fee of \$1,510, (2) the petition fee of \$1,620; and (3) an adequate statement of unintentional delay1.

The application is being referred to the Office of Data Management to be processed into a patent.

Telephone inquires related to this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3204. Telephone inquiries related to processing as a patent should be directed to (571) 272-4200.

Sherry D. Brinkley Petitions Examiner

Office of Petitions

¹ 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3) requires a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. While the statement is not made by an attorney of record, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay. See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (October 21, 1997). In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.